

EXPONENTIAL FRAMES ON UNBOUNDED SETS

SHAHAF NITZAN, ALEXANDER OLEVSKII AND ALEXANDER ULANOVSKII

ABSTRACT. For every set S of finite measure in \mathbb{R} we construct a discrete set of real frequencies Λ such that the exponential system $\{\exp(i\lambda t), \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a frame in $L^2(S)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This note can be viewed as a continuation of our previous paper [NOU]. In [NOU] we constructed "good" sampling sets for the Paley–Wiener spaces PW_S of entire $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ –functions with bounded spectrum S in \mathbb{R} . This construction is based on a result in [BSS] on existence of well-invertible sub-matrices of large orthogonal matrices. Recently, an important progress in the latter area has been made in [MSS]. Based on this, we prove existence of exponential frames in $L^2(S)$, for every unbounded set S in \mathbb{R} of finite measure.

Recall that a system of vectors $E = \{u_j\}$ is a frame in a Hilbert space H if there are positive constants a, A such that

$$a\|h\|^2 \leq \sum_{u_j \in E} |\langle h, u_j \rangle|^2 \leq A\|h\|^2 \quad \forall h \in H.$$

The numbers a and A above are called frame bounds.

Given a discrete set Λ in \mathbb{R} , we denote by

$$E(\Lambda) := \{e^{i\lambda t}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

the system of exponentials with frequencies in Λ .

Exponential frames $E(\Lambda)$ in $L^2(S)$ (equivalently, stable sampling sets Λ for PW_S) have been carefully studied from different points of view. There is a large number of results in the area. In the classical case when S is an interval, such systems were essentially characterized by Beurling [B] in terms of the so-called "lower uniform density" of Λ . A complete description of exponential frames for intervals is given by Ortega–Cerdá and Seip [OS]. However, the problem of existence of exponential frames for unbounded sets remained open. The following result fills this gap by showing that for every set S of finite measure, the space $L^2(S)$ admits an exponential frame:

Theorem 1 *There are positive constants c, C such that for every set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ of finite measure there is a discrete set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $E(\Lambda)$ is a frame in $L^2(S)$ with frame bounds $c|S|$ and $C|S|$.*

Here by $|S|$ we denote the measure of S .

Remark 1. The frame bounds are essential in many contexts, since they characterize the "quality" of frame decompositions. Assume that an exponential system $E(\Lambda)$ forms an orthogonal basis in $L^2(S)$. One can easily check that in this case $E(\Lambda)$ is a frame in $L^2(S)$ with frame bounds $a = A = |S|$. These are, in a sense, the "optimal" frame bounds. In general, there may be no exponential orthogonal basis in $L^2(S)$. However, Theorem 1 shows that an exponential frame in $L^2(S)$ always exists with "almost" (up to fixed multiplicative constants) optimal frame bounds.

Remark 2. A similar to Theorem 1 result regarding the existence of complete exponential systems $E(\Lambda)$ in $L^2(S)$ (equivalently, existence of uniqueness sets Λ for PW_S) is obtained in [OU] by an effective direct construction. That is not the case here, since the proof of Theorem A below in [MSS] involves stochastic elements.

Remark 3. Assume that S lies on an interval of length $2\pi d, d > 0$. It follows from Lemma 10 below that a set Λ satisfying the conclusion of Theorem 1 can be chosen satisfying $\Lambda \subset (1/d)\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 4. Assume that Λ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1. Then there are two absolute constants k, K such that the inequalities

$$k|S| < \frac{\#(\Lambda \cap \Omega)}{|\Omega|} < K|S|$$

hold whenever Ω is a sufficiently long interval in \mathbb{R} . In fact, one can choose any numbers $k < 1/2\pi$ and $K > 4C$, where C is the constant in Theorem 1. Then, as it was shown by Landau [L] (for a more elementary proof see [NO]), the left hand-side inequality above follows from the frame property of $E(\Lambda)$. The right hand-side inequality follows from Lemma 6 (ii) below.

2. WELL-INVERTIBLE SUBMATRICES

Our construction is based on the following result by Marcus, Spielman and Srivastava from [MSS]:

Theorem A *Let $\epsilon > 0$, and $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\|u_i\|^2 \leq \epsilon$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2 = \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Then there exists a partition of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ into S_1 and S_2 , such that for each $j = 1, 2$,

$$\sum_{i \in S_j} |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{(1 + \sqrt{2\epsilon})^2}{2} \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (1)$$

Observe that, clearly, $(1 + \sqrt{2\epsilon})^2 \leq 1 + 5\sqrt{\epsilon}$ when $\epsilon < 1$.

Remark 5. Let $\epsilon < 1$. Since

$$\sum_{i \in S_1} |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2 = \|w\|^2 - \sum_{i \in S_2} |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2,$$

estimate (1) shows that the two-sided estimate holds for each $j = 1, 2$:

$$\frac{1 - 5\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2} \|w\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in S_j} |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1 + 5\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2} \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (2)$$

The following corollary (see Corollary F.2 in [HO]) gives a reformulation of Theorem A in a form well prepared for an induction process:

Corollary B *Let $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $\|v_i\|^2 \leq \delta$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. If*

$$\alpha \|w\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |\langle w, v_i \rangle|^2 \leq \beta \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

with some numbers $\alpha > \delta$ and β , then there exists a partition of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into S_1 and S_2 such that for each $j = 1, 2$,

$$\frac{1 - 5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha}}{2} \alpha \|w\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in S_j} |\langle w, v_i \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1 + 5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha}}{2} \beta \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (3)$$

For the sake of completeness, we reproduce the proof.

Let $M : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be the operator defined by $Mw = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle w, v_i \rangle v_i$. Observe that M is positive and that

$$\alpha \|w\|^2 \leq \|M^{1/2}w\|^2 \leq \beta \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Set $u_i = M^{-1/2}v_i$. Then $\|u_i\|^2 \leq \|v_i\|^2/\alpha \leq \delta/\alpha$. Further, for all $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \langle w, u_i \rangle u_i = M^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle M^{1/2}w, v_i \rangle v_i = M^{-1/2} M M^{-1/2} w = w.$$

We see that u_i satisfy the assumptions of Theorem A with $m = k$ and $\epsilon = \delta/\alpha < 1$. Hence, there is a partition of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ into two sets S_1 and S_2 satisfying (2). Using the right hand-side of (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in S_j} |\langle w, v_i \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{i \in S_j} |\langle M^{1/2}w, u_i \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1 + 5\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2} \|M^{1/2}w\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1 + 5\sqrt{\epsilon}}{2} \beta \|w\|^2 = \frac{1 + 5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha}}{2} \beta \|w\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the left hand-side of (3) is similar.

We will use an elementary lemma:

Lemma 1 *Let $0 < \delta < 1/100$, and let $\alpha_j, \beta_j, j = 0, 1, \dots$, be defined inductively*

$$\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1, \quad \alpha_{j+1} := \alpha_j \frac{1 - 5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha_j}}{2}, \quad \beta_{j+1} := \beta_j \frac{1 + 5\sqrt{\delta/\alpha_j}}{2}.$$

Then there exist a positive absolute constant C and a number $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$a_j \geq 100\delta, j \leq L, \quad 25\delta \leq a_{L+1} < 100\delta, \quad b_{L+1} < Ca_{L+1}.$$

Proof. Clearly, if $a_j \geq 100\delta$ then

$$\frac{\alpha_j}{4} \leq \alpha_{j+1} < \frac{\alpha_j}{2}.$$

Denote by $L \geq 1$ the greatest number such that $a_L \geq 100\delta$, and set $\gamma_j := 5\sqrt{\delta/a_j}, j \leq L$. Then $\gamma_{L-j} < 2^{-1-j/2}$. It follows that

$$\prod_{j=0}^L \frac{1 + \gamma_j}{1 - \gamma_j} < C := \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1 + 2^{-1-j/2}}{1 - 2^{-1-j/2}}.$$

This gives $b_{L+1} < Ca_{L+1}$, and the lemma follows.

We will need the following

Lemma 2 *Assume the hypothesis of Theorem A are fulfilled and that $\|u_i\|^2 = n/m, i = 1, \dots, m$. Then there is a subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that*

$$c_0 \frac{n}{m} \|w\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in J} |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2 \leq C_0 \frac{n}{m} \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (4)$$

where c_0 and C_0 are some absolute positive constants.

Proof. If $n/m \geq 1/100$, then (4) holds with $J = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $C_0 = c_0 = 100$.

Assume $\delta := n/m < 1/100$. Let α_j and β_j be as defined in Lemma 1. Then the vectors $v_i = u_i$ satisfy the assumptions of Corollary B with $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1$. Hence, a set $J_1 \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ exists such that

$$\alpha_1 \|w\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in J_1} |\langle w, u_i \rangle|^2 \leq \beta_1 \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_L > 100\delta$, we may apply Corollary B the second time to get a set $J_2 \subset J_1$ such that the two-sided inequality above holds with J_2, α_2 and β_2 , and so on. Since $\alpha_L > 100\delta$, Corollary B can be applied L times. We thus obtain a set $J_{L+1} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ for which the two-sided inequality holds with α_{L+1} and β_{L+1} . From Lemma 1 it follows that (4) is true with $J = J_{L+1}$.

We now reformulate Lemma 1 in terms more convenient for our application. Given a matrix A of order $m \times n$ and a subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$, we denote by $A(J)$ the sub-matrix of A whose rows belong to the index set J .

Lemma 3 *There exist positive constants $c_0, C_0 > 0$, such that whenever A is an $m \times n$ matrix which is a sub-matrix of some $m \times m$ orthonormal matrix, and such*

that all of its rows have equal l^2 norm, one can find a subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$c_0 \frac{n}{m} \|w\|^2 \leq \|A(J)w\|^2 \leq C_0 \frac{n}{m} \|w\|^2 \quad \forall w \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (5)$$

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In what follows we write $F = \hat{f}$, where f is the Fourier transform of F :

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-itx} F(t) dt.$$

Given a discrete set Λ , we denote by $d(\Lambda)$ its separation constant

$$d(\Lambda) := \inf_{\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda'} |\lambda - \lambda'|.$$

Given a sequence of sets Λ_j satisfying $d(\Lambda_j) \geq d > 0$ for all j , a set Λ is called the weak limit of Λ_j if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every interval $\Omega = (a, b)$, $a, b \notin \Lambda$, both inclusions $\Lambda_j \cap \Omega \subset (\Lambda \cap \Omega) + (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and $\Lambda \cap \Omega \subset (\Lambda_j \cap \Omega) + (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ hold for all but a finite number of j 's. The standard diagonal procedure implies that if Λ_j satisfy $d(\Lambda_j) \geq d > 0$ for all j , then there is a subsequence which weakly converges to some (maybe, empty) set Λ satisfying $d(\Lambda) \geq d$.

Recall that the Paley–Wiener space PW_S is defined as the space of all functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that \hat{f} vanishes a.e. outside S . When the measure of S is finite, we have

$$\int_S |F(t)| dt \leq \|F\| \sqrt{|S|} \quad \forall F \in L^2(S).$$

Here $\|F\|$ means the L^2 –norm of F . Hence, $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for every $f \in PW_S$, and so every function $f \in PW_S$ is continuous.

Sometimes it will be more convenient for us to work with the Paley–Wiener space PW_S , rather than $L^2(S)$. In this connection we observe that by taking the Fourier transform, Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following statement:

There exist positive constants c, C such that for every set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|S| < \infty$, there is a discrete set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$c|S| \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq C|S| \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in PW_S \quad (6)$$

We will prove (6) with the constants $C = C_0$ and $c = c_0/(36C_0)$, where c_0 and C_0 are the constants in Lemma 3.

We will need the following Bessel's inequality (see [Y], Ch. 4.3): Given a set Λ satisfying $d(\Lambda) > 0$ and a bounded set S , there is a constant K which depends only on $d(\Lambda)$ and the diameter of S such that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq K \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in PW_S.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 below uses three auxiliary lemmas:

Lemma 4 *Let S be a bounded set of positive measure and let $\Lambda_k \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of sets satisfying $d(\Lambda_k) > \delta > 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$, which converges weakly to some set Λ . Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} |f(\lambda)|^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \quad \forall f \in PW_S.$$

Proof. Take any function $f \in PW_S$, and pick up a point $x_l \in [l\delta - \delta/2, l\delta + \delta/2]$ such that

$$|f(x_l)| = \max_{|x-l\delta| \leq \delta/2} |f(x)| \quad \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $x_{l+2} - x_l \geq \delta$, the sequence x_k is a union of two sets each having separation constant $\geq \delta$. By Bessel's inequality, we see that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x_k)|^2 < \infty.$$

Let $R > 0$, and write

$$\left| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} |f(\lambda)|^2 - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \right| \leq \left| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k, |\lambda| < R} |f(\lambda)|^2 - \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < R} |f(\lambda)|^2 \right| + 2 \sum_{|k| \geq R/\delta} |f(x_k)|^2.$$

The first term in the right hand-side tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\pm R \notin \Lambda$, while the second one tends to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 5 *Let $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots$ be an increasing sequence of bounded sets in \mathbb{R} with $S = \cup_k S_k$ being a set of finite measure. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}, d(\Lambda) > 0$, and positive k, K be such that the inequalities*

$$k \|f_j\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq K \|f_j\|^2 \quad \forall f_j \in PW_{S_j} \quad (7)$$

hold for every j . Then

$$k \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq K \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in PW_S. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Given a function $f \in PW_S$, let $f_j \in PW_{S_j}$ be the Fourier transform of the function $\hat{f} \cdot 1_{S_j}$, where 1_{S_j} is the indicator function of S_j . Then the L^1 -norm of $\hat{f} - \hat{f}_j$ tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and so the functions $f_j(x)$ converge uniformly to $f(x)$.

For every $R > 0$ we have,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < R} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq K \|f_j\|^2.$$

Taking the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, |\lambda| < R} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq K \|f\|^2.$$

By letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the right hand-side inequality in (8). Using this inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \right)^{1/2} &\geq \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |(f - f_j)(\lambda)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \\ &k^{1/2} \|f_j\| - K^{1/2} \|f - f_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we prove the left hand-side inequality in (8).

Lemma 6 *Assume that the inequality*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq C |S| \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in PW_S \quad (9)$$

is true for some $C > 0$, $S \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|S| < \infty$, and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then

(i) *There is a constant $\eta > 0$ which depends only on S such that*

$$\#(\Lambda \cap \Omega) \leq 9C,$$

for every interval $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|\Omega| = \eta$.

(ii) *There is a constant $K > 0$ which depends only on S such that*

$$\frac{\#(\Lambda \cap \Omega)}{|\Omega|} \leq 4C |S|,$$

for every interval $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$, $|\Omega| \geq K$.

Proof. (i) Denote by $h \in PW_S$ the Fourier transform of the indicator function 1_S . Then $h(x)$ is continuous,

$$h(0) = \frac{|S|}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \quad \|h\|^2 = \|1_S\|^2 = |S|.$$

Choose $\eta > 0$ so small that $|h(x)| > |S|/3$, $|x| \leq \eta/2$. Then, applying (9) for $f = h$, we see that the statement (i) of Lemma 6 holds for $\Omega = [-\eta/2, \eta/2]$. To complete the proof, it suffices to observe that every function $h(x - x_0)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, belongs to PW_S .

(ii) Take any function $g \in PW_S$ satisfying $\|g\| = 1$, and choose a number R such that

$$\int_{-R}^R |g(x)|^2 dx \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Assume $K > 2R$. We now apply (9) to the function $f(x) := g(x - s)$ and integrate over $(-K, K)$ with respect to s :

$$\int_{-K}^K \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |g(\lambda - s)|^2 ds \leq 2KC |S|.$$

When $|\lambda| < K/2$, we have

$$\int_{-K}^K |g(\lambda - s)|^2 ds \geq \int_{-R}^R |g(s)|^2 ds \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{\#(\Lambda \cap (-K/2, K/2))}{2} \leq \int_{-K}^K \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |g(\lambda - s)|^2 ds \leq 2KC|S|.$$

This proves statement (ii).

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof of Theorem 1 will consist of a series of lemmas.

Lemma 7 *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n < m$. For every set*

$$S = \bigcup_{r \in I} \left[\frac{2\pi r}{m}, \frac{2\pi(r+1)}{m} \right], \quad I \subset \{0, \dots, m-1\}, \#I = n,$$

there is a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$c_0|S|\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0|S|\|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in PW_S, \quad (10)$$

where c_0, C_0 are the constants in Lemma 3.

Proof. Observe that $|S| = 2\pi n/m$, and denote by

$$\mathcal{F}_I := (e^{i\frac{2\pi jr}{m}})_{r \in I, j=0, \dots, m-1}$$

the submatrix of the Fourier matrix \mathcal{F} whose columns are indexed by I . Since the matrix $(\sqrt{m})^{-1}\mathcal{F}$ is orthonormal, by Lemma 3 there exists $J \subset \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ such that

$$c_0 n \|w\|^2 \leq \|\mathcal{F}_I(J)w\|_{l_2(J)}^2 \leq C_0 n \|w\|^2, \quad w \in l_2(I). \quad (11)$$

Observe that every function $F \in L^2(S)$ can be written as

$$F(t) = \sum_{r \in I} F_r(t - \frac{2\pi r}{m}),$$

where $F_r \in L^2(0, \frac{2\pi}{m})$ is defined by

$$F_r(t) := F(t + \frac{2\pi r}{m}) \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{2\pi}{m}]}(t).$$

Therefore, every function $f \in PW_S$ admits a representation

$$f(x) = \sum_{r \in I} e^{i\frac{2\pi r}{m}x} f_r(x), \quad f_r \in PW_{[0, \frac{2\pi}{m}]},$$

where the functions $e^{i\frac{2\pi r}{m}x}f_r(x)$ are orthogonal in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We note that for every function $h \in PW_{[0,2\pi/m]}$ we have,

$$\frac{2\pi}{m}\|h\|^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in m\mathbb{Z}} |h(\lambda)|^2. \quad (12)$$

We now verify that the sequence

$$\Lambda := \{j + km : j \in J, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

satisfies (10). Take any function $f \in PW_S$. Then

$$\sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(j + km)|^2 = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{r \in I} e^{i\frac{2\pi r j}{m}} f_r(j + km) \right|^2.$$

For every $j \in J$ we apply (12) to the function $\sum_{r \in I} e^{i\frac{2\pi r j}{m}} f_r(x)$. We find that the last expression is equal to

$$\frac{2\pi}{m} \sum_{j \in J} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{r \in I} e^{i\frac{2\pi r j}{m}} f_r(x) \right|^2 dx = \frac{2\pi}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\mathcal{F}_I(J)(f_r(x))_{r \in I}\|_{l_2(J)}^2 dx.$$

By inequality (11) we have on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 &\geq c_0 \frac{n}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{r \in I} |f_r(x)|^2 dx = \\ c_0 \frac{n}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{r \in I} |e^{i\frac{2\pi r}{m}x} f_r(x)|^2 dx &= c_0 \frac{n}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{r \in I} e^{i\frac{2\pi r}{m}x} f_r(x) \right|^2 dx = \\ c_0 \frac{n}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

while on the other hand, applying the same computation, we get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0 \frac{n}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{r \in I} |f_r(x)|^2 dx = C_0 \frac{n}{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 8 *For every compact set $S \subset [0, 2\pi]$ of positive measure there is a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that (10) holds.*

This follows immediately from Lemma 7, since every such set S can be covered by a set from Lemma 7 whose measure is arbitrarily close to $|S|$.

Lemma 9 *For every set $S \subset [0, 2\pi]$ of positive measure there is a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that (10) holds.*

Proof. It suffices to prove Lemma 9 for open sets S . Let S be such a set and let $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets such that $S = \cup_j S_j$. By Lemma 8, there exist sets $\Lambda_j \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$c_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \quad \forall f_j \in PW_{S_j}, \quad (13)$$

where c_0, C_0 are the constants in Lemma 3. Since $PW_{S_j} \subset PW_{S_k}, k > j$, we have

$$c_0 |S_k| \|f_j\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0 |S_k| \|f_j\|^2 \quad \forall f_j \in PW_{S_j} \quad (14)$$

We may assume that Λ_k converge weakly to some set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Using Lemma 4, we take the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$:

$$c_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \quad \forall f_j \in PW_{S_j}. \quad (15)$$

Now, the result follows from Lemma 5.

Lemma 10 *For every bounded set S of positive measure there is a set $\Lambda \subset (1/d)\mathbb{Z}$ such that (10) holds, where d is any positive number such that S lies on an interval of length $2\pi d$.*

Observe that the translations of S change neither the frame property of $E(\Lambda)$ nor the frame constants. So, it suffices to assume that $S \subset [0, 2\pi d]$. Then the result follows from Lemma 9 by re-scaling.

Proof of Theorem 1. We may assume that S is an unbounded set of finite measure.

Let $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots$ be any sequence of bounded sets satisfying $S = \cup_j S_j$. By Lemma 10, there exist discrete sets Λ_j such that (13) is true. Since $PW_{S_j} \subset PW_{S_k}, j < k$, we see that (14) holds for all $j < k$.

By Lemma 6 (i), there is a number $\eta > 0$ and an integer r which depends only on the constant C_0 in (6) (it is easy to check that one may take $r \leq 36C_0$) such that every set Λ_k can be splitted up into r subsets $\Lambda_k^{(l)}$ satisfying $d(\Lambda_k^{(l)}) \geq \eta, l = 1, \dots, r$. By taking an appropriate subsequence, we may assume that each $\Lambda_k^{(l)}$ converges weakly to some set $\Lambda^{(l)}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 4, we may take limit in (14) as $k \rightarrow \infty$:

$$c_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(k)}} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \quad \forall f_j \in PW_{S_j}.$$

Set $\Lambda := \cup_{k=1}^r \Lambda^{(k)}$. It may happen that the sets $\Lambda^{(k)}$ have common points. Anyway, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda^{(k)}} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq r \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f_j(\lambda)|^2.$$

From the latter inequalities, it readily follows that

$$\frac{c_0}{r} |S| \|f_j\|^2 \leq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |f_j(\lambda)|^2 \leq C_0 |S| \|f_j\|^2 \quad \forall f_j \in PW_{S_j}.$$

Theorem 1 now follows easily from Lemma 5.

5. REFERENCES

- [BSS] J. Batson, D. A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava. Twice–Ramanujan sparsifiers. *SIAM Rev.* 56 (2014), no. 2, 315–334.
- [B] A. Beurling. Balayage of Fourier–Stieltjes Transforms. In: *The collected Works of Arne Beurling. Vol. 2, Harmonic Analysis.* Birkhauser, Boston 1989.
- [HO] N. J. A. Harvey, N. Olver. Pipage rounding, pessimistic estimators and matrix concentration. *Proc. of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms* (2014), 926–945. ISBN: 978-1-611973-38-9.
- [L] H. J. Landau. Necessary density conditions for sampling and interpolation of certain entire functions. *Acta Mathematica* (1967), v. 117, 37–52.
- [MSS] A. Marcus, D. A. Spielman, and N. Srivastava. Interlacing families II: Mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison–Singer problem, June 2013. arXiv:1306.3969.
- [NOU] S. Nitzan, A. Olevskii, A. Ulanovskii. A few remarks on sampling of signals with small spectrum. *Proceeding of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* (2013), v. 280, 240–247.
- [NO] S. Nitzan, A. Olevskii, Revisiting Landau’s density theorems for Paley–Wiener spaces. *C. R. Mathématique* (2012), v. 350, no. 9–10, 509–512.
- [OU] A. Olevskii, A. Ulanovskii. Uniqueness sets for unbounded spectra. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 349 (2011), no. 11–12, 679–681.
- [OS] J. Ortega–Cerdá, K. Seip. Fourier frames. *Annals of Mathematics* (2002), v. 155 (3), 789–806.
- [Y] R.M: Young. *An introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series.* Academic Press. 2001.